TULALIP TRIBES OF WASHINGTON
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS

RFQ/P No. 2026-22

Construction Manager at Risk Services, Hatchery Upgrade Program

ADDENDUM NO. 02

Project Construction Manager at Risk Services for the Battle Creek Hatchery and Lower Tulalip Creek Hatchery Upgrade Program	**RFQ/P No.** 2026-22
Owner Tulalip Tribes of Washington, Natural Resources Department	**Addendum Date** November 17, 2026
Issued By Crain Advisors, on behalf of the Tulalip Tribes of Washington	**RFQ/P Issue Date** October 13, 2026
Prior Addenda Addendum No. 01	**Proposal Due Date** As stated in the RFQ/P (no change)

NOTICE TO PROPOSERS

This Addendum forms part of the RFQ/P and modifies it as stated below. Where this Addendum conflicts with the RFQ/P or Addendum No. 01, this Addendum governs. All other terms of the RFQ/P and Addendum No. 01 are unchanged. Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on Exhibit J, Proposal Cover Certification.

PART 1, CHANGES TO THE RFQ/P

Item	Location	Change
1.1	Section 5, TERO / Indian Preference Requirements, Selection preference	The second sentence of the Selection preference paragraph is deleted and replaced with the following: The 15 points reserved for Native preference will be allocated as follows: (a) 15 points to a TERO certified NAOB owned by one or more enrolled Tulalip Tribal members, or to a joint venture certified by TERO under TTC 9.05.410 in which Tulalip Tribal members hold majority ownership and control. (b) 12 points to any other TERO certified NAOB or joint venture certified by TERO under TTC 9.05.410. (c) 10 points to a joint venture that is not certified under TTC 9.05.410, if one of its members is a TERO certified NAOB. The joint venture must be a separate legal entity formed for this project, and the NAOB member must hold an ownership interest and a defined role in the management and performance of the work. The proposer must submit the joint venture agreement with its proposal. These points recognize NAOB participation in the award of the contract under TTC 9.05.350(1). They are not NAOB preference under TTC 9.05.410. (d) Up to 8 points to any other proposer for the NAOB participation and TERO workforce engagement approach

Item	Location	Change
		described in the following paragraph: 8 points for a strong approach supported by results on past projects, 4 points for an adequate approach, and 0 points for an approach that does not go beyond TERO compliance. Consistent with TTC 9.05.290(2), an NAOB may receive the benefit of Native preference or participation points at either the prime or the subcontract level on this project, but not both. An NAOB that is a member of a proposer receiving points under (a), (b) or (c) will not receive NAOB preference as a subcontractor or supplier at any tier on this project, including the preference under TTC 9.05.330(3). Work performed by that NAOB as a member of the selected Construction Manager is the Construction Manager's own work. An NAOB that is not a member of the proposer keeps its full preference rights as a subcontractor. Section 9, Evaluation Criteria, continues to refer to Section 5 for the Native preference points and is not changed.

PART 2, CHANGES TO THE EXHIBITS

None.

PART 3, RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

No.	Question	Response
1	Can a project specific joint venture between an existing TERO certified Native American Owned Business (NAOB) and a non-NAOB qualify for preference under the TERO Code if the joint venture is formed and certified before the proposal deadline, even though the joint venture itself was not registered with TERO when the project was originally announced?	No. TTC 9.05.150 requires an NAOB to be current on the TERO Registry at or before the date the contract bid or proposal is announced to qualify for preference on the contract.
2	How are TTC 9.05.150 (Preference provisions) and TTC 9.05.410 (Joint ventures) intended to work together for a joint venture formed specifically for this project?	A joint venture may qualify for preference under the TERO Code if the joint venture meets the criteria in TTC 9.05.150. This project had a pre-solicitation notice that was issued on October 1, 2026, which provided some time prior to the announcement for a joint venture to be formed specifically for this project.
3	Can an existing NAOB's certification satisfy the prior registration requirement if the existing NAOB is a partner in a joint venture?	No.
4	Can an exception be granted to TTC 9.05.150 so that a newly formed joint venture that includes an existing registered NAOB can qualify for preference under the TERO Code?	No.

No.	Question	Response
5	Can an alternative approval process be made available so that a joint venture that includes an existing registered NAOB, formed after the announcement of this project, can qualify for preference under the TERO Code?	No. See Item 1.1(c) of this Addendum for the points available to a joint venture that is not certified under TTC 9.05.410.
6	Please clarify when proposals are due. The website states 3:00 PM Pacific Time, Thursday, November 24, and the RFQ/P states 3:00 PM PT, Wednesday, November 25.	Proposals are due by 3:00 PM PT, Wednesday, November 25, 2026, as stated in the RFQ/P. The date shown on the website is incorrect.

PART 4, ATTACHMENTS

1	Fee Matrix	The excel fee matrix file was not available on the Tulalip RFP website. It is attached to this Addendum

Issued for the Tulalip Tribes of Washington	**Date**
_____ Jason Gobin, Executive Director Cultural and Natural Resources Tulalip Tribes of Washington	November 17, 2026

End of Addendum 02